

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/779,632	02/18/2004	Theodore R. Zeigler	000002-001	9087
44012 WRB-IP LLP	7590 07/20/200	7	EXAMINER	
1217 KING ST	1217 KING STREET  ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314  BARTOSIK, ANTH			
ALEXANDRIA	A, VA 22314		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			3609	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/20/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/779,632	ZEIGLER, THEODO	ZEIGLER, THEODORE R.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Anthony N. Bartosik	3609				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence add	ress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tute, cause the application to become AB	CATION. eply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this com BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18	3 February 2004.					
·= · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	his action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allow		ers, prosecution as to the r	merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice unde	•	•				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are pending in the applicati	on.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) 1-24 are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami	iner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	ccepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr	ection is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR	R 1.121(d).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTC	)-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	•			
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received					
2. Certified copies of the priority docume		nnlication No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p		· ·	tage			
application from the International Bure	•					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li	` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` `	received.				
	·					
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)				
2)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/779,632 Page 2

Art Unit: 3609

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - Claims 1-22, drawn to Invention I, a collapsible structure with self-locking mechanism, classified in class 52, subclass 646.
  - II. Claims 23 & 24, drawn to a method of erecting a collapsible structure, classified in class 135, subclass 97.
- 2. Inventions II and I are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product such as a nexpandable table.
- 3. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the

Art Unit: 3609

requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anthony N. Bartosik whose telephone number is 2723600. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:30-5:00; Alter Fri Off E.D.T.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Batson Victor can be reached on 571-272-6987. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3609

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Victor Batsor

Supervisory Patent Examiner Art Unit 3600

AB 6/2007